

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,510	05/24/2001	Gregory Murphy	28122.89	2445
27683 75	590 11/08/2002			
	ID BOONE, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER
901 MAIN STF DALLAS, TX	REET, SUITE 3100 75202		LEWIS, RALPH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002	į.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/864,510

Applicant(s)

Murphy et al

Examiner

Ralph Lewis

Art Unit **3732**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period f	• •				
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If NO p - Failure - Any rep	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This action	on is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 29-48</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) X	Claim(s) 1-14 and 29-48	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some* c) None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
-	the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) Unit translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachme		priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4, 8, 9 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/864,510

Art Unit: 3732

Rejections based on Obvious-type double patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper tames extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.d. 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 and 29-48 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of copending Application No. 09/864,794. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the ordinarily skilled artisan would have found it obvious to have claimed only a single element of the "kit" set forth in the allowed claims of 09/864,794. The ordinarily skilled artisan would have also found it obvious to eliminate steps set forth in the allowed method claims 19-28 of application 09/864,794.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3732

Rejections based on Prior Art

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-5, 8-12, 29-35 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deslauriers et al (5,255,678).

Deslauriers et al disclose a balloon device 20 shaped and sized to be fitted within the left ventricle when inflated. The device further includes a tube 23 in fluid communication with the balloon 20, a valve 24, pressure gauge (column 8, line 65) and syringe 26. The purpose for which applicant intends the device to be used (i.e. a "shaper") fails to impose any objectively ascertainable structural distinctions from the device disclosed by Deslauriers et al. In regard to claim 3, a partially inflated balloon may be further inflated. In regard to claims 29-35 note the different shapes in Figures 8-11.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,510

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 1, 13, 14, 29-35 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swanson et al (6,216,043 B1).

Swanson et al disclose an expandable device shaped and sized for a patient's left ventricle comprised a nitinol wire mesh 20. The manner in which applicant intends for the claimed device to be used fails to impose any objectively ascertainable structural distinctions from the device disclosed by Swanson et al.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deslauriers et al (5,255,678).

In regard to claim 6 and 7, Deslauriers et al, are silent as to the inflating fluid. The selection, however, of conventional medical balloon inflation fluids would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,510 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36-44 and 48 would be allowable if a terminal disclaimer were filed to overcome

the obvious-type double patenting rejection.

Prior Art

Applicant's information disclosure statements of August 20, 2001, August 12, 2002 and

September 09, 2002 have been considered and an initialed copy enclosed herewith.

Figuera (5,169,378), Imran (5,409,000), Imran et al (5,465,717), Wang (5,526,810),

Panescu et al (5,609,157), Pietroski et al (5,722,401), Whayne et al (5,908,445), Afremov et al

(6,210,338 B1), Buckberg et al (6,439,237 B1) and Buckberg et al (6,450,171 B1) are made of

record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ralph Lewis at

telephone number (703) 308-0770. Fax (703) 872-9302. The examiner works a compressed

work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver,

can be reached at (703) 308-2582.

R.Lewis October 30, 2002 Raiph A. Lewis Primary Examiner

Ah 3732